ROYAL EXCHANGE ASSURANCE.
INCORPORATED A.D. 1720.
FOR SEA, FIRE, LIFE AND ANNUITIES.
CHIEF OFFICE: ROYAL EXCHANGE, LONDON.
FUNDS, £4,000,000. CLAIMS PAID, £37,000,000.
FIRE.
INSURANCES ARE GRANTED AGAINST LOSS OR DAMAGE BY FIRE on PROPERTY of almost every description, at Moderate Rates.
LIFE.
DEATH DUTY POLICIES—Payment Direct to Revenue Authorities before grant of Probate.
BONUS YEAR, 1895—To secure Maximum Profits, Policies should be effected before 31st DECEMBER, 1895.
Apply for Full Prospectus to
E. R. HANDCOCK, Secretary.

MIDLAND RAILWAY HOTELS.

LONDON	- MIDLAND GRAND	- St. Pancras Station, N.W.	
	(*Within Shilling cab fare of Gray's-inn, Inns of Court, Temple Bar, and Law Courts, &c. 'Buses to all parts every minute. Close to King's Cross Metropolitan Railway Station. The New Venetian Rooms are available for Public and Private Dinners, Arbitration Meetings, &c.*)		
LIVERPOOL	- ADELPHI	-	Close to Central (Midland) Station.
BRADFORD	- MIDLAND	-	Excellent Restaurant.
LEEDS	- QUEEN'S	-	In Centre of Town.
DERBY	- MIDLAND	-	For Peak of Derbyshire.
MORECAMBE	- MIDLAND	-	Tennis Lawn to Seashore. Golf.

Tariffs on Applications. *Telegraphic Address "Midotel."*
WILLIAM TOWLE, Manager Midland Railway Hotels.

X *IMPORTANT TO SOLICITORS* X
In Drawing LEASES or MORTGAGES of
LICENSED PROPERTY
To see that the Insurance Covenants include a policy covering the risk of
LOSS OR FORFEITURE OF THE LICENSE.
Suitable clauses, settled by Counsel, can be obtained on application to
THE LICENSES INSURANCE CORPORATION AND GUARANTEE FUND, LIMITED,
24, MOORGATE STREET, LONDON, E.C.

SHIPPING PROPERTY.
IMPORTANT TO INVESTORS THEREIN.
C. W. KELLOCK & CO.
(C. W. KELLOCK, W. W. KELLOCK, NELSON CAMERON),
Established over Half a Century.
WATER STREET, LIVERPOOL.
VALUERS of all classes of SHIPPING PROPERTY. Valuations made for Probate, General Average, Admiralty, &c. Brokers for the Sale and Purchase of Shipping (Privately or by Public Auction).
PERIODICAL SALES BY AUCTION IN OWN SALEROOM.

LEGAL AND GENERAL LIFE ASSURANCE SOCIETY.
ESTABLISHED OVER HALF A CENTURY.
10, FLEET STREET, LONDON.

FREE,
SIMPLE,
THE PERFECTED SYSTEM OF LIFE ASSURANCE.
AND
SECURE.

TOTAL ASSETS, £2,881,000. INCOME, £334,000.
The Yearly New Business exceeds ONE MILLION.
Assurances in force, TEN MILLIONS.

DIRECTORS.

Blake, Fredk. John, Esq.
Brooks, William, Esq. (Basingstoke).
Davey, The Right Hon. Lord.
Deane, The Right Hon. Sir James Parker, Q.C., D.C.L.
Ellis, Edmund Henry, Esq.
Frere, Geo. Edgar, Esq.
Garth, The Right Hon. Sir Richard, Q.C.
Harrison, Chas., Esq., M.P.
Healey, C. E. H. Chadwyck, Esq., Q.C.
Johnson, Charles P., Esq.
Kekewich, The Hon. Mr. Justice.
Leman, James Curtis, Esq.
Lopes, The Right Hon. The Lord Justice.
Masterman, H. Chauncy, Esq.
Mathew, The Hon. Mr. Justice.
Meek, A. Grant, Esq. (Devizes).
Mellor, The Right Hon. John W., Q.C., M.P.
Mills, Richard, Esq.
Morrell, Frederic P., Esq. (Oxford).
Pennington, Richard, Esq.
Rowcliffe, Edward Lee, Esq.
Saltwell, William Henry, Esq.
Williams, C. Reynolds, Esq.
Williams, Romer, Esq.
Williams, William, Esq.

VOL. XXXIX., No. 44.
The Solicitors' Journal and Reporter.
LONDON, AUGUST 31, 1895.

Contents.

CURRENT TOPICS 739
THE BOARD OF TRADE REPORT ON BANKRUPTCY 740
REVIEWS 741
LAW OFFICERS' SALARIES 743
LEGAL NEWS 744
WINDING UP NOTICES 744
CREDITORS' NOTICES 744
BANKRUPTCY NOTICES 745
PUBLIC GENERAL STATUTES.

Cases Reported this Week.

In the Solicitors' Journal.

Blanchard v. Corporation of Scarborough 742
Burne v. Serff 742
Kibble v. Fairthorne 742
Rapley v. Clarke 742
Smith v. The Mortgage Company of Mexico (Lim.) 742
Wood (Appellant) v. London County Council (Respondents) 742

In the Weekly Reporter.

Attorney-General v. Lord Sudeley and Others 700
Attorney-General v. Wendt 701
Baerlein & Co. v. Chartered Bank of India, London, and China 699
Brook v. Manchester, Sheffield, and Lincolnshire Railway Co. 699
Debenham & Walker, In re 699
Montgomery v. Foy, Morgan, & Co. ... 691
"Nautik," The 703
Sarson v. Roberts 699
Scott v. Alvarez (No. 2) 694
Thomas v. Lulham 699

CURRENT TOPICS.

IT IS fortunate that political considerations have not been allowed to interfere with the appointment of Mr. FINLAY as Solicitor-General. After Sir EDWARD CLARKE had declined to hold the office on the conditions now attached to it, there was no lawyer on the Government side of the House whose claims could for a moment compare with those of Mr. FINLAY. For some years he has held a foremost place as an advocate in cases requiring accuracy of reasoning and sound legal learning, and his selection does credit to the Government.

WE PRINT elsewhere the new Treasury minute relating to the emoluments and practice of the law officers. So far as their practice goes it confirms in express terms the policy which we have always deprecated, of converting them into mere officials of the Government. They are not to undertake business of any kind on behalf of private clients, and a law officer must, on appointment, return all papers and briefs which he has received in private matters. He is dead to the outside world, and the whole of his services are thenceforth due to the Crown. In return for thus cutting themselves off from their old clients, and from general practice at the bar, the law officers are to have fixed salaries for non-contentious business and, in addition, fees for contentious business. The fixed salary of the Attorney-General is to be £7,000 and of the Solicitor-General £6,000 per annum. With regard to contentious business, rules are laid down as to the cases in which a law officer is to be instructed, and as to the amount of the fees. Any head of, or solicitor to, a Government department may decide that a law officer shall be employed, and a law officer will appear as a matter of course in appeal cases, whether in the Court of Appeal, the House of Lords, or the Privy Council, and in certain special classes of cases, such as informations and revenue cases. But only one law officer is to be instructed, unless in the opinion of the Attorney-General the public interest requires that both should appear, and it is for the Attorney-General, too, to determine whether a law officer shall be instructed in a case not falling within the specified classes. The fees are to be those which a Queen's counsel of average standing might properly accept from a private client, subject to a maximum fee of 150 guineas with brief and 30 guineas as refresher, unless in any case of exceptional importance or difficulty the Chancellor of the Exchequer allows a higher fee on the brief. It is to be feared that the rules will stereotype a most unfortunate departure from the traditions of these offices.

IT WAS unfortunate for the plaintiffs in *Hamelin* v. *Bannerman* (1895, A. C. 237) that they made an absolute grant of specified water power instead of a general licence, for the supply having diminished through drought below the amount granted, they

Hamelin v Bannerman

themselves had to go without. The mistake was in executing a deed which stated how much water the defendants were to take—a course which was probably adopted in the first instance to protect the grantors from excessive user by the grantee; though if the grantors had known the purpose for which the water was wanted, and this were expressed on the deed, the result would have been practically the same. If, however, neither the amount nor purpose had been stated, the grant would have been a mere licence and would not have given the grantee a preferential right. Even then the plaintiffs could not have derogated from their grant by non-repair of the dam, nor by unreasonable user. The best known case on this branch of the law is *Newby* v. *Harrison* (1861, 1 J. & H. 393, 9 W. R. 849) and the last was *Sutherland* v. *Heathcote* (1892, 36 SOLICITOR'S JOURNAL 231, 1 Ch. 475) which decided that the reservation of a right of mining is not exclusive. The present case is a strong instance of the strictness with which the law holds a man to his deed, and will not allow him to set up the interests of the public to defeat his own grant. The appellants had constructed a dam across a navigable river, and thus obtained the water-power which they had sold to the respondents. This was plainly an infringement of the rights of the public to the free navigation of the stream, but the appellants were estopped from raising this defence, the court saying, "Even if the appellants had been unable, as they say they were, to give the respondents a good title as against the public, the law would not have permitted them first to sell a prior right to the water-power, and pocket the price, and then to pose as members of the public, and to deprive their purchaser of the water by using it themselves."

Sutherland v. *Heathcote*.

IN THE current number of the *Twentieth Century* Mr. J. TRUSTRAM deals with the ever-recurring question of the circuit system. In his view the principle which historically and logically underlies the system is that every circuit town should have its gaol, and that the judges should visit the towns in order to deliver the gaols of the persons awaiting trial in them. At the present time, however, many of the assize towns have no gaols and the result is that prisoners have to be taken considerable distances from the gaols in which they are confined to the place of trial. Thus persons committed for trial at the Surrey Assizes are detained at Holloway, and have to be conveyed thence to Guildford to undergo their trial. We are not clear that this circumstance in itself is any ground for interfering with the present system. Mr. TRUSTRAM draws a moving picture of the hardships to which prisoners are exposed on a winter's day, but this is a matter with which the authorities are competent to deal by making proper arrangements. The expense and inconvenience of the railway journey are not very great, and it is better to incur them than needlessly to multiply prisons. The real reason for holding trials at the county towns, although the accused persons are not lodged there, is that justice may be done in the neighbourhood of the place where the crime was committed, and as near as possible to the homes of the witnesses. Mr. TRUSTRAM is on safer ground when he points to the small amount of criminal business which frequently awaits the judge at an assize town, and the disproportionate expense which is incurred. "It may safely be asserted," he says, "that the expenditure, both public and private, occasioned by the visits of judges of assize to small county towns possessing no gaols, where the calendar of prisoners for trial is invariably light, and the civil causes few and unimportant, is enormous when compared with the work done." Doubtless this is so, but it is to be noticed that while the Council of Judges in 1892 recommended the concentration of civil business at certain places in each circuit, it was expressly resolved that no change should be made in the number of the assizes for criminal business, or in the places for which such assizes are held. In the view of the judges, therefore, the importance of administering justice locally was great enough to override considerations of expense and convenience.

IN *Re Lord Coleridge's Settlement*, *ante* p. 725, Chitty, J., gave full effect to the power conferred on tenants for life by section 22 of the Settled Land Act, 1882, enacting that the investment or other application of capital money under the Act by the trustees of the settlement shall be made according to the direction of the tenant for life. The tenant for life in the above case having directed investments of capital money which, though within the scope of the settlement power, were not such as the trustees would themselves have selected, the trustees applied to the court to decide whether they ought to comply with such direction. Chitty, J., held that the trustees were bound to comply. "The enactment," said his lordship, "is in accordance with the general policy of the Act; in cases falling within its provisions the Act transfers, in regard to investment, a function ordinarily exercised by the trustees from the trustees to the tenant for life. The only limitations imposed on him are those to be found in the Act itself—notably in the 21st and 53rd sections." Section 21 specifies the modes of investment or other application of capital money authorized, and in regard to one objection raised by the trustees—viz., that in the event of certain redeemable stock being paid off at par the capital of the trust fund would be diminished, it may be observed that certain redeemable investments are now expressly permitted to trustees by law (see section 2 of the Trustee Act, 1893). "By the 53rd section," continued the learned judge, "a tenant for life, in exercising any power under the Act, is bound to have regard to the interest of all parties entitled under the settlement, and, in relation to the exercise thereof by him, is deemed to be in the position and to have the duties and liabilities of a trustee for those parties. Supposing that this case had not fallen within the Act, and that the trustees had, in the exercise of their ordinary discretion, selected these securities in good faith, their discretion could not have been questioned; they would have been acting within the scope of the authority conferred on them by the settlement. Similarly the tenant for life, in the exercise of his statutory power, cannot be controlled by the trustees or by the court, so long as he really and honestly exercises his discretion." The duty and liabilities of a tenant for life under the 53rd section were, it will be remembered, very fully considered by the Court of Appeal in the *Ailesbury case* (40 W. R. 243; 1892, 1 Ch. 506).

THE BOARD OF TRADE REPORT ON BANKRUPTCY.

THE twelfth annual report of the Board of Trade on bankruptcy, which by virtue of section 25 of the Bankruptcy Act, 1890, includes also a report of proceedings under the Deeds of Arrangement Act, 1887, has just been issued. The body of the report, signed by Sir COURTENAY BOYLE, the permanent secretary to the board, is very short, and deals mainly with the financial results of the working of the Bankruptcy Acts. The receipts of the department for the year ended 31st of March, 1895, from stamps, fees, and dividends on the investment of surplus funds belonging to bankrupt estates, amount to £146,512; the expenditure to £167,538, the chief items being £111,834 for salaries of officers, &c. (not including salaries of judges either of the Supreme Court or of county courts), and £26,249 for remuneration to county court registrars in respect of bankruptcy business. There is thus a deficiency of £21,026 on the year's working of the Bankruptcy Acts, 1883 and 1890. A separate account headed "Accounts relating to Bankruptcy Administration prior to the Bankruptcy Act, 1883," gives on the expenditure side the further items of £2,236 for costs of bankruptcy prosecutions, and £5,492 for pensions and compensations before the 1st of January, 1884. The actual deficiency, therefore, is £28,754, though by the old device of taking credit for dividends on cancelled stock—the amount of the imaginary sum, less income tax, being £37,747—the actual deficit is assumed to be turned into a surplus of £8,993. The corresponding imaginary surplus last year was £14,119, the falling off being due to a decrease in stamps and fees, which is attributed to a decline in the amount of insolvency dealt with during the year.

The bulk of the report consists of five annexes, the first being the report on the general working of the Acts of 1883 and 1890, presented to the Board of Trade by Mr. JOHN SMITH, the Inspector-General in Bankruptcy. As regards the amount of insolvency, it shews that the cases dealt with under the Bankruptcy Acts, and also under the Deeds of Arrangement Act, 1887,

reveal a falling off as compared with the high-water mark reached in 1893. For that year the number of receiving orders was 4,874 and of deeds of arrangement 3,938, making a total of 8,812; for 1894 the corresponding numbers were 4,762 and 3,894, making a total of 8,656.

This result is satisfactory, so far as it goes, and since Mr. SMITH says it is confirmed by the experience of the present year, it may be hoped that it indicates an improvement in trade. At the same time it would be rash to attach much importance to slight fluctuations in figures, and the table which Mr. SMITH gives of the failures in certain specified trades shew that the variation is by no means universally in the right direction. "Grocery and Provisions" shew a decrease of 160 and "Drapery" of 28. But on the other hand "Beer, Wines, and Spirits" have an increase of 56; "Building and Materials" an increase of 61; "Wool Manufacturers and Merchants" an increase of 39; "Silk" an increase of 6; and "Timber" an increase of 25. The failures of farmers are 518, or five less than in 1893, but the figure is much higher than in 1891 and 1892. After suggesting that the increase of the failures in the wool trade is due to the operation of hostile tariffs, and, in the case of liquor, to the higher duties on beer and spirits, Mr. SMITH is constrained to admit that his statistics do not allow him to draw any very definite conclusion, although, he adds, "they may perhaps be regarded as forming an interesting contribution to a study of comparative trade insolvency over a series of years."

Under the head of "Special Features of Insolvency" Mr. SMITH calls attention to what he considers the chief causes of insolvency in trading failures, and emphasizes the danger to trade generally which is caused by the competition of reckless traders who continue their operations after insolvency has freed them from the check of prudential considerations. As might be expected, he looks for the remedy in a firmer administration of the bankruptcy law, though he complains of the difficulty of getting the courts or the official receivers to regard the practice as one of a serious character. "It is, no doubt," he says, "in many cases difficult for a merchant or trader to discern the precise moment when his liabilities exceed his assets, and it is not contended that every bankrupt should be held strictly responsible for taking a sanguine view of his affairs while honestly attempting to retrieve an embarrassed position. But the point which I desire to emphasize is that where a debtor has once realized that his capital is lost, and that he is trading entirely at the risk of his creditors, any deliberate attempt to carry on his business by selling goods at a loss for the sake of keeping himself afloat is, and ought to be recognized as, far more injurious to the commercial interests of the trading community as a whole than some even of the specific bankruptcy offences which are dealt with under the criminal law." Mr. SMITH's efforts in the interest of the trading community are to be commended, but it may be doubted whether any practicable means will be found of preventing traders from selling goods at their own prices in order to gain breathing time in the downward course. It is one of the evils incidental to a system of competition and trading on credit which must be left to cure itself.

Under "Special Features in the Working of the Act" the chief matters dealt with are trading by bankrupt husbands in the names of their wives under the protection of the Married Women's Property Act, 1882, the assignment of future book-debts, and the costs of administration. Upon the first point Mr. SMITH refers to a case in the Northampton County Court to which Judge SNAGGE has called his attention, and in which the learned judge remarked that his experience of the last few years upon the bench had led him to the conclusion that the combined operation of the Married Women's Property Act and the present Bankruptcy Act opened the door to a great deal of elaborate fraud. "In his opinion no wife of a bankrupt should be permitted to carry on the same or a similar business at the same or a similar address, under the same or a similar style, within, say, three years from the date of the receiving order without the special leave of the court." To this suggestion Mr. SMITH adds another for the registration of assignments of book-debts, either past or present, out of the ordinary course of business, in the same manner as bills of sale. Assignments of future book-debts were held to be valid by the House of Lords in *Tailby* v. *The Official Receiver* (13 App. Cas. 523), and perhaps it would be better for the principle of this decision to be considered by the Legislature than to do anything to extend the trouble caused by the Bills of Sale Acts.

In dealing with the costs of administration, Mr. SMITH presents a table of the costs where the estates are administered under the Act of 1883 by the official receivers and by private trustees respectively, and under private deeds of arrangement. The table shews the percentage of costs to gross assets, the cases being grouped in eighteen classes as the assets realized vary from £50 to £6,000. The percentage naturally decreases as the value of the assets rises, and the average percentage works out at 21.01 in the case of administration by official receivers, and 21.81 in the case of deeds of arrangement. The former figure is kept down by excluding cases under £50, which usually fall into the hands of the official receivers. The percentage for administration under the Act of 1883 by non-official trustees is worked out at 39.06, but Mr. SMITH does that class of trustees the justice of accounting for the increased ratio of cost by the nature of the estates with which they have to deal. The most complicated cases, especially those in which the assets can only be recovered by litigation, generally, he says, go to non-official trustees. "While, therefore," he adds, "it must be obvious to unprejudiced minds that the system of official administration now in use, when judged by any standard which can be applied to it, is not open to the charge of excessive costliness, it is only fair to the highly-experienced body of accountants who practise under the Bankruptcy Act, to point out that the foregoing figures afford no ground for any general charge against their administration. On the contrary I am of opinion that, having regard to the circumstances of the case, the costs of non-official administration, whether under the Bankruptcy Act or under private deeds of arrangement, are in the great majority of cases of a reasonable character." This is an important admission, and, coming from such a quarter, it will be read with interest. The other annexes, which consist of various statistical tables, and of the report of Mr. MURTON, the solicitor to the Board of Trade, upon legal proceedings conducted by him, do not call for any special remark.

REVIEWS.

BOOKS RECEIVED.

The Law of Allotments for the Poor and Labouring Population, including the Allotments Acts, 1887 and 1890; the Allotments and Cottage Gardens Compensation for Crops Act, 1887; the Operative Parts of the Local Government Act, 1894, &c.; together with the Incorporated Statutes and the Adaptations of the Lands Clauses Acts and Allotments Acts by the Local Government Board, with Tables of Cases and Statutes and Copious Index. Second Edition. By JAMES BROOKE LITTLE, B.A., Barrister-at-Law. Shaw & Sons; Butterworth & Co.

The Law regulating Streets and Buildings in the Metropolis under the London Building Act, 1894, and other Metropolitan Statutes, together with the Standing Orders, Regulations, Bye-laws, Forms, &c., of the London County Council and of the Commissioners of Sewers of the City of London. By R. CUNNINGHAM GLEN, M.A., LL.B., Barrister-at-Law, Hon. Examiner to the Surveyors' Institution, and ARTHUR A. BETHUNE, Barrister-at-Law. With Explanatory Diagrams, by ALFRED CONDER, F.R.I.B.A., F.S.I., Architect, District Surveyor for Woolwich. Knight & Co.

The Association for the Reform and Codification of the Law of Nations, says the *Daily News*, is preparing to hold its seventeenth conference under the presidency of Sir Richard Webster, Attorney-General, who will deliver his inaugural address in the hall of the Palace des Académies in Brussels, on the 1st of October next. The session will extend over four days, and will embrace papers, reports, and discussions on a great variety of subjects. Prominent among the items are papers by Dr. Evans Darby on the Neutralization of Seas and Inter-Oceanic Canals, and the place of International Arbitration in the Evolution of Peace; on the Marriage Laws, by Professor Gabba; on the Execution of Foreign Judgments, by M. Hubert Brunard, M. Charles Lachaud, and Mr. G. G. Phillimore; on Conflicts of Nationality (a report), by Dr. Thomas Barclay; and on Collisions at Sea, particularly as regards the incidence of liability where both vessels are in fault, by Mr. Franck and Mr. F. Raikes, Q.C. At this conference a motion will be made by Dr. Barclay for changing the name of the association to that of "The International Law Association," as being conveniently brief, while it is not less comprehensive than the title by which this important organization has been hitherto known.

CASES OF THE WEEK.

Before the Vacation Judge.

SMITH *v.* **THE MORTGAGE COMPANY OF MEXICO (LIM.)**—27th August.

COMPANY—DEBENTURES—PROPERTY COMPRISED IN—MONEY IN FOREIGN COUNTRY—RECOVERY OF BY RECEIVER—POWER OF ATTORNEY—FORM OF ORDER.

This was a motion on the part of the plaintiff on behalf of himself and all other the debenture-holders of the defendant company that William Philip Tomes, the receiver and manager appointed in the action, might be directed to take such steps as might be necessary in Mexico for the purpose of obtaining the sum of £3,849 6s. 11d. lying at the Banco Nacional, Chihuahua, Mexico. It appeared from the affidavits that the receiver and manager was appointed in the action on the 17th of May, 1895. On the 28th of May judgment was given in the action declaring that the debentures were a charge upon all the property and directing the usual accounts, one of which was "an account of the principal moneys and interest secured and due under the said debentures respectively and to whom the same are respectively due," and "an inquiry of what the property comprised in and charged by the debentures consists." The company was formed for the purpose, amongst other things, of the investment or advance of money on the security of first mortgages on lands in Mexico. Part of the property comprised in the above account and inquiry consisted of the sum of £3,849 6s. 11d. lying at the Banco Nacional, Chihuahua, Mexico, but which the receiver had not been able to receive owing to a claim on the part of one Garcia, of Mexico, who claimed to have a charge on the amount, but the receiver had not been able to obtain particulars of his claim.

MATHEW, J., made an order that a power of attorney be given by the receiver and the company, to be settled in chambers in case the parties differed, to an attorney or attorneys to take such steps in Mexico as he or they might be advised to recover the sum of £3,849 6s. 11d. in the notice of motion mentioned, all sums of money recovered by the said attorney or attorneys to be paid into court to the credit of this action, subject to payment of all proper charges thereout.—COUNSEL, *A. J. Chitty*; *Stewart-Smith*.—SOLICITORS, *Dale, Newman, & Hood*; *E. S. Parker*.

[Reported by J. E. ALDOUS, Barrister-at-Law.]

BURNE *v.* **SERFF**—27th August.

PRACTICE—VACATION COURT—MOTION—APPLICATION FOR ADJOURNMENT OF BY CONSENT—GROUND OF—PROBABILITY OF SETTLEMENT.

E. P. Hewitt applied for leave to amend the writ, and by consent for an adjournment for a week of this motion, which was one for an injunction to restrain the erection of a building.

MATHEW, J.—You must shew some ground for the application.

Hewitt.—There are expectations of a settlement taking place.

MATHEW, J.—I will adjourn the motion for a week, but if it comes into the paper again I shall expect to have the reasons why it has not been settled given to me. I reserve the question of the amendment of the writ.—SOLICITORS, *Preston, Stone, & Preston*, agents for *Rooke & Coker*, Bath.

[Reported by J. E. ALDOUS, Barrister-at-Law.]

RAPLEY *v.* **CLARKE**—27th August.

PRACTICE—VACATION COURT—MOTION—ADJOURNMENT OF BY CONSENT—AFFIDAVITS RECENTLY FILED—TIME TO ANSWER—COSTS.

This was a motion to restrain the erection of certain buildings.

King-Farlow, for the defendant, applied for an adjournment for a week, on the ground that affidavits had been filed by the plaintiff on the previous day, and it was necessary for the defendant to have time to answer them.

Ryland, for the plaintiff, consented to the adjournment.

MATHEW, J.—When was the writ issued, and when was leave to serve notice of motion given?

King-Farlow.—The writ was issued on the 17th of August, 1895, and leave to serve notice of motion was given on the 20th of August.

MATHEW, J.—I grant an adjournment for a week, but if I find that the application for the adjournment has been occasioned by default of either side with regard to filing affidavits, I shall make the defaulting party pay the costs occasioned by his conduct.—SOLICITORS, *A. J. Schweder*; *Upton*.

[Reported by J. E. ALDOUS, Barrister-at-Law.]

KIBBLE *v.* **FAIRTHORNE**—27th August.

PRACTICE—CONTEMPT—RELEASE FROM CUSTODY—COSTS OF MOTION FOR.

W. L. Richards applied that Mr. Edward Fairthorne, a defendant in this action, might be released from custody to which he had been committed for contempt of court. On the 2nd of May Kekewich, J., made an order that Mr. Fairthorne should hand over certain deeds. Since he had been in custody the deeds had been handed over.

MATHEW, J.—Is there any objection?

T. L. Higgins, for the plaintiff, applied that the defendant might pay the costs of the motion.

MATHEW, J., made the order of discharge, the defendant to pay the costs of the motion, but such payment not to be a condition precedent to the defendant's discharge.—SOLICITORS, *T. A. Jones*, for *Stockton & Sons*, Banbury; *Crowders & Vizard*, for *Dennis & Faulkner*, Northampton.

[Reported by J. E. ALDOUS, Barrister-at-Law.]

BLANCHARD *v.* **CORPORATION OF SCARBOROUGH**—27th August.

INJUNCTION—CORPORATION—DEFECTIVE SEWERS—FORM OF ORDER.

This was a motion on the part of the plaintiff that the defendants might be restrained until trial of the action or further order, from causing or permitting any sewage or filthy water to rise up or flow or percolate into the messuage of the plaintiff from out of the sewers of the defendants, and from in anywise using or permitting the said sewers or allowing them to remain so constructed as to be or cause a nuisance to the plaintiff, or that such further or other order might be made in the premises as to the court should seem meet. It appeared from the affidavits that the plaintiff, Mr. Thomas Blanchard, is the proprietor of a boarding house at South Cliff, Scarborough, and his complaint was that the main sewer in West-street, into which the drain from his house flows, is not of sufficient diameter to take off the sewage in periods of heavy rain. The consequence was that upon more than one occasion an irruption of sewage took place into his premises. On the 30th of June of the present year there was a heavy thunderstorm, and the plaintiff complained that upon that occasion his basement was flooded with sewage.

MATHEW, J., ordered the motion to stand over till the time mentioned by the corporation as that within which they could execute the necessary work, with liberty to renew it if the work was not done or the scheme of drainage not successful; the costs to be reserved and the motion to be renewable upon two days' notice.—COUNSEL, *Millar*, Q.C., and *Hawksford*; *Hopkinson*, Q.C., and *Clayton*. SOLICITORS, *Torr, Gribble, Oddie, & Sinclair*, for *W. Drawbridge*, Scarborough; *J. E. T. Graham*, Town Clerk, Scarborough.

[Reported by J. E. ALDOUS, Barrister-at-Law.]

CASES OF LAST SITTINGS.

High Court—Queen's Bench Division.

WOOD (Appellant) *v.* **THE LONDON COUNTY COUNCIL** (Respondents)—6th August.

LOCAL GOVERNMENT—METROPOLIS—FORMATION OF NEW STREET—QUADRANGLE OR COURTYARD FOR USE OF TENANTS ONLY—SANCTION OF LONDON COUNTY COUNCIL—NECESSITY OF LONDON BUILDING ACT, 1894 (57 & 58 VICT. C. CCXIII.), S. 7.

Case stated by Mr. De Rutzen, metropolitan police magistrate, sitting at Westminster Police Court. A summons was taken out upon complaint made on behalf of the London County Council (the respondents) against the appellant, that the appellant did unlawfully commence to form and lay out a certain street for carriage traffic without having first made an application in writing to the London County Council for and obtained their sanction to the formation and laying out of such street for carriage traffic, contrary to the provisions of section 7 of the London Building Act, 1894 (57 & 58 Vict. c. ccxiii.), which provides that "before any person commences to form or lay out any street, whether intended to be used for carriage traffic or for foot traffic only, such person shall make an application in writing to the council for their sanction to the formation or laying out of such street either for carriage traffic or for foot traffic (as the case may be) . . . and no person shall commence to form or lay out any street for carriage traffic or for foot traffic without having obtained the sanction of the council"; and section 8 provides that "a person shall be deemed to commence to form or lay out a street if he erect a fence or other boundary or lay down lines of kerbing or level the surface of the ground so as to define the course or direction of a street, or if he form the foundations of a house in such manner and in such position as that such house will or may become one of three or more houses abutting on or erected beside land on which a street is intended to be or may be thereafter laid out or formed. Provided that no person shall be deemed to commence to form or lay out a street if he do any of the acts in this section mentioned for some purpose other than that of forming or laying out a street." The site in question in this case until recently formed part of the site of an old brewery belonging to the appellant, and of a private house connected therewith. At the time when the brewery existed there was a covered entrance or footway about six feet wide by the side of the private house from Victoria-street into a square yard on the site, and from the square yard there were two other entrances to other places, but such entrances and footway were not used by the public, and no right of way existed over the same. About 1891 the appellant sold the brewery business, and the brewery ceased to be used, and in 1894 the appellant resolved to utilise the site for building, and he accordingly erected, fronting or abutting on Victoria-street, a building comprising shops on the ground floor with flats above, and an archway through the middle of the ground floor of the building. Such building was erected in accordance with the statutes applicable thereto prior to the passing of the London Building Act, 1894, and the south-west wall of such building was left unfinished with a view to the subsequent extension of the buildings. Shortly after the passing of the Act of 1894 the appellant decided to extend the building fronting on Victoria-street by building additional buildings round the other three sides of the quadrangle formed by clearing away the brewery buildings, and on the 18th of April, 1895, he gave notice to the district surveyor in compliance with the said Act of his intention so to do, but he made no application to the County Council for their sanction to the formation and laying out of a street in connection therewith. When the buildings are completed by extending them round the other three sides of the quadrangle there will be in all about forty-two flats, and there will be two entrances and staircases leading from the quadrangle to the flats. There will also be a

one-storied building to be used as an estate office, and to have a separate entrance from the quadrangle. There will be no exit or entrance to or from the quadrangle except by the archway in Victoria-street, which is closed by lofty iron gates, and this archway and the quadrangle will be for the use of the tenants of the flats in the proposed new buildings, and of the tradesmen and others visiting them on business or pleasure with or without carriages, and the public at large will be entirely excluded from them. On the 3rd of April, 1895, the appellant's solicitors wrote to the respondents' solicitors stating that the appellant had done enough to bring himself within section 8 of the Act if his proposed scheme was in contravention of the Act, and requesting the respondents to take the necessary steps to have the question determined, and on the 24th of April the above summons was issued on the application of the County Council. The respondents contended, and the appellant denied, that the appellant had commenced to form and lay out a street for carriage traffic within the meaning of section 7 of the Act. The magistrate found as a fact that the place in question was a street, and held that the appellant had, under the circumstances, commenced to form and lay it out for carriage traffic within the meaning of section 7 of the London Building Act, 1894, and he accordingly convicted the appellant and ordered him to pay a nominal fine and £5 5s. costs. The question now was whether the decision of the magistrate was right; if right, the conviction to stand, otherwise the conviction to be quashed. For the appellant it was contended that this quadrangle was really only a courtyard belonging to the block of buildings, and was, in fact, part of the curtilage of the buildings; that it was a courtyard laid out solely for the convenience of the tenants, and the case found that it could not be used by the public at large, and therefore it did not come within section 7 of the Act: *The London County Council* v. *Davis* (43 W. R. 574); *Pilbrow* v. *The Vestry of St. Leonard, Shoreditch* (43 W. R. 342; 1895, 1 Q. B. 433). For the County Council it was contended that it was a pure question of fact whether this was a street or not within the meaning of the section, and the magistrate had found as a fact that it was a street, and that was final.

THE COURT (GRANTHAM and LAWRANCE, JJ.) allowed the appeal.

GRANTHAM, J.—In this case we are asked by the learned magistrate to say whether or not his decision was right in holding that this was a street, and that the appellant had under the circumstances commenced to form and lay out a street for carriage traffic within the meaning of section 7 of the London Building Act, 1894. We both think, without any hesitation, that he was wrong and that he decided wrongly. It has been argued very strongly before us for the county council that we ought not to interfere in this case because the learned magistrate had found as a fact that this was a street, and that the appellant was commencing to lay it out, and it was boldly said that in a case of this kind it was essentially a question of fact for the magistrate, and although other magistrates had all of them come to a contrary view, yet it was not a question of law in any way and it must be as a question of fact left to the *ipse dixit* of each magistrate hearing the case. The result would be that you would have as many different decisions as there were magistrates sitting in London. In this case we do not at all agree with the view taken for the county council that each particular magistrate can decide this in accordance with his own fancy. We think he must decide it according to what are the legal definitions of the words used, or at any rate he is not to find as a fact that which the law would not allow to be the fact in the particular case. He has found this as a fact because the owner of the property proposes to deal with it in a certain way. He says that dealing with it in that way brings him within the section. That is a matter of law, and as a matter of law we hold that his dealing with the property as he proposes does not bring him within that section. That section is only intended to apply to a different set of circumstances from those which we have to deal with here. In this particular case the owner of the property had used it for a great number of years for a particular purpose. It was a large space; there were roads, or rather ways, in it then, which were used by him (the owner) for his horses, carts, and drays. They were used as streets far more than they will probably be now, because it was a very large brewery where an immense number of horses, vans, and wagons were used. The owner proposed to pull down the stables, wagon sheds, brew house, and various places, and build mansions in the place of those buildings which were there before. He proposes to improve the centre of this place, pulling down one block which I think used to be called the brewery and making a garden round it, allowing and leaving sufficient space for the cabs or the carriages of people who will live in this building, or who are desirous of coming to call upon those who are there. The whole thing from beginning to end is as private as it can possibly be. It is true that the owner proposes to let it out in flats, but he reserves to himself the absolute right over the whole of this piece of land, and people simply have the rights which he chooses to give them. That being so, can this quadrangle or courtyard of these houses be called a street, or can it be said to be laid out for carriage traffic? The 7th section of the Act says that before any person commences to form or lay out any street, whether intended to be used for carriage traffic, or foot traffic, he must make an application. If the argument for the county council were correct, the footway up to a house would seem to come within the definition of street, because a portion of the ground which he has for the purpose of building a house on is used for foot traffic; it is used by people going up to the house. If that argument were to be allowed, I do not see where we are to draw the line. If the owner is not to be allowed to keep private a courtyard—as has been done in this case—I cannot see how he can deal with any portion of his land which is devoted to the passing to and fro of people going to the house, or can reserve to himself the right of making that roadway or that footway which he thinks most suitable for communication. In this particular case the claim is made under a section which would make it necessary for the owners to devote 40 ft. of this road to a carriageway, or where there was no roadway, 20 ft. to a footway—absolutely useless and absolutely inconsistent with the rights of private owners of property. Although I quite agree that we are not justified in considering ourselves bound by the case of *The London County Council* v. *Davis*, because that case was the converse of this and the magistrate found the other way, and the court held that the magistrate was justified in finding as he did, and they would not overrule him: although we cannot say that that is a direct authority by which we are bound in this case, we are entitled to say this, that not only in that case, but in almost all the cases in which the question has been argued, the learned judges have used such an example as this as an instance to shew that it would not be a street if the owner proposed to deal with it as he proposed to deal with it in this case. Therefore we are entitled to call in aid the arguments used, and the statements of the judges of what their views would be, on such a case as the present. I quite agree with the statement on behalf of the county council that the word "street" has never been absolutely defined, but there are many cases in which that which has been done has been held not to constitute a street. We consider this one of those cases where we are not asked to define what is a street. It may be that some day some one will be able to do it—no one has yet succeeded in doing it—but we are entitled to say whether or not this a street within the meaning of this particular section. We have both come to the conclusion that it is not a street within the meaning of that section. Therefore we are of opinion that this decision of the magistrate was wrong, and that the conviction must be quashed.

LAWRANCE, J., concurred. Appeal allowed and conviction quashed.—COUNSEL, *Lawson Walton*, Q.C., and *B. Morten*; *Cripps*, Q.C., and *Avory*. SOLICITORS, *Godden, Son, & Holme*; *W. A. Blaxland*.

[Reported by Sir SHERSTON BAKER, Bart., Barrister-at-Law.]

LAW OFFICERS' SALARIES.

THE following is the Treasury minute, dated the 5th of July, 1895, regulating the salaries and other emoluments of the Attorney-General and the Solicitor-General for England:—

The Chancellor of the Exchequer calls the attention of the board to their minutes of the 5th of December, 1892, and the 29th of June, 1894, relating to the remuneration of the law officers of the Crown.

The Chancellor of the Exchequer states that further consideration of the system has suggested certain alterations in the arrangements now in force, and he accordingly recommends that the following resolutions should receive their lordships' sanction in the place of those laid down in the minutes mentioned above.

1. The law officers shall receive salaries, to be annually voted by Parliament, viz., the Attorney-General £7,000 per annum and the Solicitor-General £6,000 per annum, to cover all business of whatever nature done by them as law officers for any department of Government, except the business specially described below as contentious business.

2. Salaries to the law officers' personal clerks for business other than contentious business shall be paid in accordance with the Treasury minute of the 12th of January, 1893—*i.e.*, at the rates of £300 and £200 respectively.

3. The law officers shall not undertake business of any kind on behalf of private clients, and any law officer shall, on appointment to office, return any papers which have been placed before him, or any briefs which have been delivered to him, on behalf of private clients.

4. Contentious business, in respect of which fees shall be paid to the law officers, shall be such business only as relates to proceedings, civil or criminal, which have actually been commenced, and in which the Government is, or represents, the plaintiff, defendant, or prosecutor.

5. No complimentary brief shall be delivered to a law officer, nor shall any fee be paid, unless he is instructed to appear and conduct the case. But this regulation shall not interfere with the right of the Attorney-General to require a brief to be sent to a law officer in any case which, in his opinion, should be conducted by a law officer.

6. The following rules shall apply in the case of contentious business. A law officer shall be instructed to appear in the following cases:—(*a*) Cases in which directions to that effect shall be given by the head of any Government department; (*b*) any case in which, in the opinion of the Solicitor to the Treasury or of the solicitor of any Government department, it is desirable, in the public interest, that a law officer should appear; (*c*) cases concerning prolongation of patents in the Privy Council; (*d*) information on the Crown side and customs cases; (*e*) cases in the Revenue Paper; (*f*) cases in the Court of Appeal, House of Lords, and Privy Council. In all other cases a law officer shall not be instructed unless, in the opinion of the Attorney-General for the time being, it is necessary, in the interest of the public service, that a law officer should appear.

7. One law officer only shall be instructed, except in cases in which, in the opinion of the Attorney-General for the time being, it is necessary, in the interest of the public service, that both law officers should appear.

8. For contentious business, as above described, the law officers and their clerks shall receive fees according to the ordinary professional scales, meaning thereby the scale of fees which a Queen's Counsel of average standing in the profession might properly accept from a private client, subject to the condition that the *maximum* fee payable shall be, brief 150 guineas, refresher 30 guineas. If a law officer is required to appear out of London as counsel for a Government department, he shall receive a special

fee, not exceeding 100 guineas, in addition to the fee on his brief. In cases of exceptional importance or difficulty, such an increased fee on the brief may be allowed as the Chancellor of the Exchequer shall approve.

9. Any question which may arise as to the application of any of the above resolution shall be submitted to the Chancellor of the Exchequer, whose decision shall be final.

This minute shall take effect from July 5, 1895, and shall apply to every law officer appointed after that date.

My lords approve, and hereby cancel the resolutions contained in their minutes of December 5, 1892, and June 29, 1894.

LEGAL NEWS.

APPOINTMENT.

Mr. Robert Bannatyne Finlay, Q.C., M.P., has been appointed Solicitor-General.

CHANGES IN PARTNERSHIPS.

Dissolutions.

Robert Jones, Randal Casson, Charles Edward Breese, and David Breese, solicitors (Breese, Jones, & Casson), Portmadoc and Dolgelly. So far as regards the said Charles Edward Breese. The said Robert Jones, Randal Casson, and David Breese will continue to carry on business under the same style or firm of Breese, Jones, & Casson.

[*Gazette*, August 23.

Reginald Bridger and Walter Oakes Freeman, solicitors (Bridger & Freeman), 5, Eastcheap, London. August 6.

[*Gazette*, August 27.

GENERAL.

The German Emperor has conferred a knighthood of the Order of the Crown upon Mr. Leopold Goldberg. Mr. Goldberg has been for many years solicitor to the German Embassy and to the German Consulate-General, and is senior member of the firm of Goldberg, Langdon, Barrett, & Newall, solicitors, 2 and 3 West-street, Finsbury-circus, E.C.

A "Solicitor," in a letter appearing in the *Times* of the 24th inst., says: "Here is a curious result (presumably a chance one) of the aggregation provisions of the Finance Act:—A saves, during his lifetime, just over £1,000. This he bequeaths to his wife B for life, and upon her death to his children C and D and to a stranger in blood E, share and share alike. Upon the decease of his wife B, it is found that her property amounts to something over £25,000. Consequently the rate of duty payable by C and D, upon their father's legacy (now falling into possession), is 4½ per cent. The stranger E, however, is within the proviso to section 4, excepting from the aggregation provisions the case of property passing upon the death of the deceased, 'which, under a disposition not made by the deceased, passes immediately on the death of the deceased to some person other than the wife or husband or a lineal ancestor or lineal descendant of the deceased,' and accordingly it 'shall not be aggregated with any other property' for the purpose of determining the rate of duty payable. The stranger E is, therefore, liable to a 1 per cent. duty only, that is to say, 3½ per cent. less than the children who have been deprived in his favour of a third of their patrimony, and who, perhaps, take nothing from their mother."

Warning to intending House Purchasers and Lessees.—Before purchasing or renting a house, have the Sanitary Arrangements thoroughly Examined by an Expert from The Sanitary Engineering Co. (Carter Bros.), 65, Victoria-street, Westminster. Fee for a London house, 2 guineas; country by arrangement. (Established 1875.)—[Advt.]

WINDING UP NOTICES.

London Gazette.—Friday, Aug. 23.

JOINT STOCK COMPANIES.

Limited in Chancery.

Alliance Financial Corporation, Limited—Petn for winding up, presented Aug 20, directed to be heard before the Vacation Judge, Mathew, J., on Sept 3. Carritt, Mark lane, agent for J A & W Moodie, Dumfries, solors to petners. Notice of appearing must reach the abovenamed Frederick Blasson Carritt not later than 6 o'clock in the afternoon of Sept 2

Denver Coal Co, Limited—Petn for winding up, presented Aug 22, directed to be heard on Sept 3. Jackson & Co, Coleman st, solors for petners. Notice of appearing must reach Jackson & Co not later than 6 o'clock in the afternoon of Sept 2

Esperanza, Limited—Creditors are required, on or before Oct 5, to send their names and addresses, and the particulars of their debts or claims, to Mr Charles Jesse Gilbert, Berkhamsted, Herts, or Mr Henry William Spratt, 46, Queen Victoria st. Chamberlayne & Short, Lincoln's inn fields, solors for liquidators

Guadalcazar Quicksilver Mines, Limited—Creditors are required, on or before Oct 8, to send their names and addresses, and the particulars of their debts or claims, to William Henry Salmon, 20, Bucklersbury. Francis & Johnson, Austin Friars, solors to liquidator

Henry W. Rice & Co, Limited—Creditors are required, on or before Sept 19, to send in their names and addresses, and the particulars of their debts or claims, to Mr Thomas Galland Mellers, King John's chmbrs, Bridlesmithgate, Nottingham. Ashwell, Nottingham, solor for liquidator

Paul's Patent Rapid Coaling Barge Syndicate, Limited—Petn for winding up, presented Aug 16, directed to be heard on Wednesday, Oct 30. Parker & Co, St Michael's Rectory, Cornhill, solors for petners. Notice of appearing must reach the abovenamed not later than 6 o'clock in the afternoon of Oct 29

Southern Counties Deposit Bank, Limited.—Petn for winding up, presented Aug 21, directed to be heard on Sept 3. Remnant & Sandars, Lincoln's inn fields, solors for petners. Notice of appearing must reach the abovenamed not later than 6 o'clock in the afternoon of Sept 2

T. C. & W. A. Crump, Limited—Petn for winding up, presented Aug 22, directed to be heard on Tuesday, Sept 3. Arthur Tabor, 15, Abchurch lane, Cannon st, solor for petners. Notice of appearing must reach the above named not later than six o'clock in the afternoon of Sept 2

Variety Automatic Supply Stores, Limited—Petn for winding up, presented Aug 14, directed to be heard on Tuesday, Sept 3. Walker & Rowe, 8, Bucklersbury, agents for A. Molesworth & Mattley, Rochdale, solors for petners. Notice of appearing must reach Walker & Rowe not later than six o'clock in the afternoon of Sept 2

FRIENDLY SOCIETY DISSOLVED.

Ruthin, Llanrhydd, and Llanfwrwy Friendly Society, Castle Hotel, Ruthin, Denbigh. Aug 17

London Gazette—Tuesday, Aug. 27.

JOINT STOCK COMPANIES.

Limited in Chancery.

Alliance Contracting Co, Limited—Petn for winding up, presented Aug 26, directed to be heard on Oct 30. Savage & Co, Coleman st, solors for petner. Notice of appearing must reach the abovenamed not later than 6 o'clock in the afternoon of Oct 29

County Palatine of Lancaster.

Limited in Chancery.

Alpha Mill Co, Limited—Petn for winding up, presented Aug 24, directed to be heard at the Chancery Office, 9, Cook st, Liverpool, on Tuesday, Sept 17, at 11. Innes, Norfolk st, Manchester, solor for petner. Notice of appearing must reach the abovenamed not later than 6 o'clock in the afternoon of Sept 15

FRIENDLY SOCIETIES DISSOLVED.

Daisy Tontine Society, Club Room, 124, Pembroke-place Liverpool Aug 10
Friendly Society, Commercial Inn chmbrs, Milnrow rd, Rochdale, Lancaster Aug 23
Friendly Society, Robin Hood and Little John Inn, Great Staughton, Hunts Aug 23
Justice Lodge, F.A.L.O. Friendly Society, Church Hotel, Droylsden, Lancaster Aug 23

Suspended for Three Months.

Friendly Sick and Burial Society, Entwistle's Arms Inn, Hamer, Rochdale, Lancaster. Aug 21
Fountain Lodge of Independent Druids Friendly Society, Atlantic Hotel, Mosley st, Blackburn, Lancaster. Aug 21

Old and Rare Fire Insurance Policies, &c., wanted to complete a Collection.—Particulars, by letter, to A. R. C., 76, Cheapside, London.—[Advt.]

CREDITORS' NOTICES.

UNDER ESTATES IN CHANCERY.

Last Day of Claim.

London Gazette.—Friday, Aug. 16.

Bostock, William, Liverpool, Merchant Sept 20 Lloyd v Bostock, Registrar, Liverpool
Delmé, Seymour Robert, Cams Hall, nr Fareham, Southampton. Oct 25 Radcliffe v Radcliffe, Stirling, J
Porter, Sophia, Oxford Oct 1 Porter v Porter, Stirling, J Mallam, Oxford
Rees, William, Trelech-ar-Bettws, Carmarthen, Farmer Oct 1 Jones v Rees, Chitty, J. George, Newcastle Emlyn, Carmarthen

London Gazette.—Tuesday, Aug. 20.

Rigg, John, Liverpool, Master Mariner Sept 24 Harrison v Harrison, Registrar, Liverpool Burton, Liverpool

UNDER 22 & 23 VICT. CAP. 35.

Last Day of Claim.

London Gazette.—Tuesday, August 20.

Baker, Thomas Petchell, Cheltenham, Grocer Oct 1 Crombie, York
Black, William, Dorking, Surrey, Dairyman Oct 5 Chester & Co, Bedford row
Body, George, Somerset, Gent Sept 21 Ruscombe & Co, Bridgwater
Brown, Edgar, Bermondsey, Superintendent Sept 16 Stanley & Co, Theobald's rd
Brown, John Nurthall, Handsworth, Staffs, Gent Oct 16 Brown & Co, Birmingham
Budge, Nathan, Carisbrooke, I W, Gent Sept 29 Eldridge & Sons, Newport, I W
Chatteris, Henry Byrne, Brass, Niger Protectorate, South Africa Oct 7 Harvey & Speed, Clement's inn
Davies, David, Aberdare, Grocer Oct 17 Kenabole, Aberdare
Field, Charles, Stonycroft, Liverpool, Gent September 27 Johnson, Liverpool
Fletcher, Thomas Benjamin, Ecclesfield, York, File Manager Sept 21 Bennett, Sheffield
Flurry, James Henry, South Lambeth rd, S E, General Dealer Sept 20 Roberts, Furnival's inn
Garland, Mary, Kingsbury, Episcopi, Somerset, Widow Sept 28 Poole, South Petherton
Gent, Henry, Wickhambrooks, Suffolk, Farmer Sept 30 Carr & Martin, Great Tower st, E C
Hadley, Sidney Hopton, Bristol, Esq Oct 5 Gwynn & Masters, Bristol
Frances, Susannah, Peterborough Oct 1 Wyman & Son, Peterborough
Harwood, Ann, Bristol Oct 5 Gwynn & Masters, Bristol
Haworth, John Walter, Blackburn, Gent Sept 28 Malam Bros, Blackburn
Marshall, George, Nottingham, Farmer Sept 21 Hickson, Nottingham
McAllister, James Adair, Plumstead Sept 30 Alexander & Harratt, Ely pl
Montefiore, Arthur Montefiore Sebag, Kensington gdns Oct 1 Tamplin & Co, Fenchurch st
Northcott, William, Bristol Oct 7 Tarr & Arkell, Bristol
Paterson, Robert, Liverpool, Solicitor Sept 20 Jones & Co, Liverpool
Peters, Julia, Truro Sept 21 Bennetts, Truro
Remington, Reginald Frederick, jun, Hammersmith, Gent Sept 30 Syms, Furnival's inn
Robinson, Francis, St James's, Solicitor Sept 30 Hilder, Jermyn st
Scott, George, Stockton on Tees, Iron Merchant Oct 12 Crosby & Co, Stockton on Tees
Spencer, William, Warwick, Yeoman Sept 12 Saunders, Chipping Norton

STOKES, GEORGE, Saffron Walden, Essex, Maltster October 5 Wade & Wade, Saffron Walden
STOBE, JOHN STEPHENS, Edmbridge, Kent Sept 30 Wright, Lincoln's inn fields, W C
STRES, WILLIAM, Newcastle on Tyne, STRES, LOUISA, Jarrow on Tyne Sept 26 Hick, Gateshead
TAYLOR, ANN, Esh, Durham Sept 16 Patrick & Son, Durham
THOMAS, DECIMUS, Slebech, Pembroke, Labourer Sept 21 Roberts, Narberth
THURBURN, JAMES, Monkwearmouth, Colliery Heap Keeper Sept 23 Stockdale, Sunderland
TURNER, FREDERICK MARTIN, Eastbourne, Gent Oct 1 Stuart & Tull, Gray's inn sq
UPHAM, JOHN WILLIAM, Brixham, Devon, Ship Builder Aug 31 Bartlett & Roberts, Paignton
WARD, MARY, Weston super Mare Sept 26 Baker & Co, Weston Super Mare
WILLIAMS, EDWARD, Knighton, Radnor Sept 20 Wallis, Knighton
WALTER, WINTLE, Bristol, Linen Draper Oct 5 Gwynne & Masters, Bristol
WORTHINGTON, JOHN, Preston, Lancs Sept 24 Bramwell, Preston

London Gazette.—FRIDAY, Aug. 23.

BROWN, MARY, Upper Wortley Sept 21 Smith, Leeds
COLLICK, GEORGE, Bognor Sept 29 Staffurth & Staffurth, Bognor
COLPMAN, WILLIAM HARDWICK, Islip, Northampton, Farmer Sept 21 Hunnybun & Sons, Huntingdon
DAVIES, the Rev EDWARD LUTWYCHE, and ANN DAVIES, Bristol Oct 1 Wallis, Hereford
DE TEISSIER, General HENRY PRICE Baron, Leatherhead, Baron Oct 19 Barnes & Bernard, Finsbury circus
GALLIE, HECTOR FRASER, St Helens, Lancaster, Wine Merchant Oct 21 Oppenheim & Malkin, St Helens
GLEADALL, ELIZABETH EMMA, Sheffield Sept 30 Rodgers & Co, Sheffield
HAM, MARY ANN, Falmouth Sept 14 Jenkins, Falmouth
HARRIS, CHARLOTTE, Hereford, Eardisley Oct 1 Buchanan, Salop
HULME, JOSEPH, Lancaster, Joiner Sept 30 Boardman, Manchester
JONES, MARY ANN, Monmouth Sept 30 Williams, Monmouth
KECK, THOMAS, Oxford, Farmer Oct 30 Wilkins & Toy, Chipping Norton
LEE, PHILLIS, Westbourne gdns Sept 30 Withers & Withers, Arundel st
MORGAN, CATHERINE, Glamorgan Sept 16 Walter Morgan & Co, Pontypridd
PARSETER, THOMAS HENRY, Upper Norwood, Gent Sept 30 Perry & Travis, Stourbridge
PENFOLD, CLARA, Worthing Sept 30 Holmes & Bennett, Worthing
PUGSLEY, AGNES, Bristol Oct 5 Gwynn & Masters, Bristol
QUAIRE, FRANCES MARY DU, Belgrave sq Oct 7 Pritchard & Co, Little Trinity lane
SANKEY, MARGARET, Appleton, Chester Sept 21 Davies & Co, Warrington
SCHUTZE, FERDINAND, Tanner st, Bermondsey Sept 30 Still & Son, New square, Lincoln's Inn
SIMPSON, MARY ANN, Heaton Moor, Lancaster Sept 20 Chapman & Co, Manchester
SMITH, HENRY, Nottingham, Tin Plate Worker Sept 28 Hking, Nottingham
STEVENS, JOHN, Hornsey Oct 7 Davies, Moorgate st
STOBE, JOHN STEPHENS, King st, Covent Garden Sept 30 Wright, Lincoln's inn fields, W C
TROTTER, MARIA MARGARET, New Cross rd, S E Sept 30 Langton, Charles st, St James' sq, W C
TUCKER, MARY, Weston super Mare Oct 5 Gwynn & Masters, Bristol
VAUGHAN, the Hon MARY JOSEPHINE, Wilton cresnt Oct 19 Barnes & Bernard, Finsbury circus, E C
WAKELAM, MARY, Birmingham Sept 20 Jacques & Sons, Birmingham
WALKER, CHARLES, Nottingham, Gent Sept 9 Whitworth, Nottingham
WALLACE, THOMAS HENRY SIMPSON, Ravensworth, Durham, Gent Sept 11 Clayton & Gibson, Newcastle upon Tyne
WOOD, JOHN, Stockport, Esq Sept 28 Ellison, Glossop
ZIEGLER, JULIUS, Pfullingen, Wurtemburg, Germany Sept 22 Rehders & Higgs, Mincing lane, E C

BANKRUPTCY NOTICES.

London Gazette.—FRIDAY, Aug. 23.

RECEIVING ORDERS.

ANTHONY, WESLEY HENRY, Ilkeston, Watchmaker Derby Pet Aug 21 Ord Aug 21
ASHCROFT, EDWARD, Hale, Farmer Manchester Pet Aug 19 Ord Aug 19
BAINBRIDGE, HARRY BOORMAN, Aberley, Chemist High Court Pet Aug 20 Ord Aug 20
BALL, ALFRED JOHN, Wellington, Baker Madeley Pet Aug 19 Ord Aug 19
BALL, THOMAS, Milford Haven, Ship's Cook Pembroke Dock Pet Aug 20 Ord Aug 20
BARBER, THOMAS SAMUEL, Feltham, Nurseryman Kingston Pet Aug 19 Ord Aug 19
BARROW, JOHN, Dunstable, Grocer Luton Pet July 30 Ord Aug 20
BROUGHTON, RICHARD, Openshaw, Builder Manchester Pet July 31 Ord Aug 19
CHESTER, EDWARD WILLIAM, Brighton, Grocer Brighton Pet Aug 21 Ord Aug 21
COCKS, SYDNEY FRANK, King's Heath, Worcs, Builder Birmingham Pet Aug 21 Ord Aug 21
COOK, CORNWALL, Spring Vale, I of W, Builder Newport Pet Aug 19 Ord Aug 19
DAWSON, ALFRED, Stapleford, Farmer Cambridge Pet Aug 21 Ord Aug 21
DOBSON, MARGARET ELEANOR, Burton on Trent Butcher Burton on Trent Pet Aug 19 Ord Aug 19
GRANT, ALEXANDER, South Shields Newcastle on Tyne Pet Aug 19 Ord Aug 19
HALL, THOMAS, Clapham High Court Pet July 16 Ord Aug 16
HODGSON, ELIZABETH, Scarborough Scarborough Pet Aug 19 Ord Aug 19
JEFFREY, SARAH JANE, Harrogate, Coal Dealer York Pet Aug 2 Ord Aug 17
JOHNSON, THOMAS COATES, jun, and ARTHUR NORMAN, Luton, Beds, Auctioneers Luton Pet Aug 21 Ord Aug 21
KENT, ALFRED, Handsworth, Confectioner Birmingham Pet Aug 21 Ord Aug 21
LEWIN, JOHN REUBEN, Nottingham, Clerk Nottingham Pet Aug 19 Ord Aug 19
LEWIS, WILLIAM HOWELL, Briton Ferry, Draper Neath Pet Aug 19 Ord Aug 19
MANFIELD, GEORGE, Godalming, Builder Godalming Pet Aug 21 Ord Aug 21
MARSTON, ROBERT, Rotherhithe, Coal Merchant High Court Pet Aug 20 Ord Aug 20
MORGAN, RICHARD HENRY, Pontymister, Mon, Grocer Newport, Mon Pet Aug 20 Ord Aug 20
PARRY, ROBERT, Llanberis, Blacksmith's Striker Bangor Pet Aug 19 Ord Aug 19
PATTEN, CHARLES JOHN, Cambridgeshire, Publican Cambridge Pet Aug 20 Ord Aug 20
PELL, JOHN, Bradford, Printer Bradford Pet Aug 19 Ord Aug 19
POTTS, HENRY JOHN WILLIAM, Malpas, Cheshire, Veterinary Surgeon Pet Aug 20 Ord Aug 20
PRICE, JAMES, Shanklin, I W, Fruiterer Newport Pet Aug 21 Ord Aug 21
READER, JOHN, Spondon, Derbyshire, Joiner Derby Pet Aug 20 Ord Aug 20
STEPHENS, ALFRED THEOPHILUS, Caerleon, Mon, Clerk Newport, Mon Pet Aug 20 Ord Aug 20
STOKES, JOHN, West Bromwich, Milkseller West Bromwich Pet Aug 17 Ord Aug 17
THOMAS, HERBERT JOHN, Newport, Mon, Ironmonger Newport, Mon Pet Aug 19 Ord Aug 19
THRESH, CHARLES HENRY, and WILLIAM HENRY NORBURY, Wakefield, Linen Makers Wakefield Pet Aug 17 Ord Aug 17
THRESH, THOMAS, and GEORGE THRESH, Wakefield, Colliery Proprietors Wakefield Pet Aug 17 Ord Aug 17
WHITEHEAD, CHRISTOPHER, Middlewich, Ironmonger Nantwich Pet Aug 19 Ord Aug 19
WILLIAMS, DAVID JOSEPH, Merthyr Tydfil, Collier Merthyr Tydfil Pet Aug 20 Ord Aug 20
WILSON, JOHN CHARLES, Leicester, Hardware Dealer Leicester Pet Aug 20 Ord Aug 20
WITHERIDGE, ARTHUR, Drayhill Cottage, Devonshire, Carpenter Plymouth Pet Aug 20 Ord Aug 20
YUILL, WILLIAM, Whitechapel rd, Ironmonger High Court Pet Aug 20 Ord Aug 20

FIRST MEETINGS.

ASH, EDWARD, Bristol, Army Pensioner Oct 11 at 2 Off Rec, 14, Chapel st, Preston
ASHCROFT, EDWARD, Cheshire, Farmer Aug 30 at 2.45 Ogden's chmbrs, Bridge st, Manchester
BACON, BEN, Manchester, Pottery Manufacturer Aug 30 at 2.30 Off Rec, St James chmbrs, Derby
BRANDRETH, DAVID, Jnr, Staffordshire Aug 30 at 11 Off Rec, Dudley
BEVINGTON, ABRAHAM COOPER, Staffordshire Aug 30 at 11.30 Off Rec, Dudley
BRANDRETH, MACLANCHLAN ROBERT ERNEST, Brighton, Solicitor Sept 3 at 2.30 Off Rec, 4, Pavilion bldgs, Brighton
BRASS, T A, Surbiton, Gent Aug 30 at 11.30 24, Railway app, London Bridge, S E
BROADBENT, THOMAS, Sheffield, Musical Instrument Dealer Aug 30 at 3 Off Rec, Figtree lane, Sheffield
BUNBURY, WILLIAM JAMES, Mile End rd, Licensed Victualler Aug 30 at 12 Bankruptcy bldgs, Carey street
CHAMBERS, CATHERINE, Merthyr Tydfil, Draper Sept 4 at 12 Off Rec, Merthyr Tydfil
DAWSON, GEORGE, Northumbrld, Tobacconist Sept 2 at 11.30 Off Rec, Pink lane, Newcastle on Tyne
EVERINGHAM, BENJAMIN, Yorkshire, Market Gardener Aug 30 at 11 Off Rec, Trinity House lane, Hull
FERNLEY, JOHN HETHERINGTON, Holborn, Solicitor Sept 2 at 12 Bankruptcy bldgs, Carey st
FITTAL, GEORGE, Isle of Sheppey, Farmer Aug 31 at 11.30 Off Rec, 149, High st, Rochester
FLETCHER, JOHN, Worcestershire, Grocer Aug 30 at 12 Off Rec, Dudley
FRYER, JOHN, Yorks, Gardener Sept 4 at 3 Off Rec, 8, Albert rd, Middlesborough
GREETHAM, GEORGE ALFRED, Southsea, House Agent Sept 4 at 3 Off Rec, Cambridge Junction, High st, Portsmouth
HALL, THOMAS, Clapton Sept 2 at 11 Bankruptcy bldgs, Carey st
HAMILTON, WILLIAM LOAT MURRAY, Shoreham, Gent Aug 30 at 12 Off Rec, 4, Pavilion bldgs, Brighton
HARGRAVE, CHARLES, Leeds, Solicitor Sept 2 at 11 Off Rec, 22, Park row, Leeds
HOUSE, ALBERT, Bradford, Cycle Engineer Aug 30 at 11 Off Rec, 31, Manor row, Bradford
HOWE, JOHN, Dorchester, Builder Aug 30 at 12.30 Off Rec, Salisbury
ILES, HENRY, Bristol, Boot Manufacturer Sept 4 at 11.30 Off Rec, Bank chmbrs, Corn st, Bristol
JEFFREY, SARAH JANE, Yorks, Coal Dealer Sept 3 at 12.30 Off Rec, 28, Stonegate, York
JONES, ELIAS, Manchester, Ale Bottler Aug 30 at 3 Ogden's chmbrs, Bridge st, Manchester
LEACH, RICHARD LEE, Devonshire, Farmer Sept 2 at 11 Off Rec, 13, Bedford circus, Exeter
MEREDITH, WILLIAM METCALFE, West Hartlepool, Ironfounder Aug 30 at 3 Off Rec, 25, John st, Sunderland
MORRIS, ALBERT WILLIAM, Bristol, Egg Merchant Sept 4 at 12 Off Rec, Bank chmbrs, Corn st, Bristol
ORMATHWAITE, ARTHUR, St James's place, Peer Sept 2 at 11 Bankruptcy bldgs, Carey st
PELL, JOHN, Bradford, General Printer Sept 4 at 11 Off Rec, 31, Manor row, Bradford
PRICE, JAMES, Llwynon, Brecon, Farm Labourer Aug 30 at 11.30 Off Rec, Merthyr Tydfil
PRICE, THOMAS, Llwynon, Brecon, Farm Labourer Aug 30 at 11 Off Rec, Merthyr Tydfil
RICE, HENRY WALTER, Nottingham, Lace Maker Aug 30 at 12 Off Rec, St Peter's Church walk, Nottingham
ROSE, EDGAR ALBION, Hadfield, Derbyshire, Grocer Aug 30 at 2.30 Ogden's chmbrs, Bridge st, Manchester
ROWE, WILLIAM, Marazion, Builder Aug 31 at 12.30 Off Rec, Boscawen st, Truro
SEDGWICK, BENJAMIN, Halling, Farmer Sept 5 at 11.30 Off Rec, 149, High st, Rochester
SIMPLE, GEORGE, Highbury Barn, Butcher Aug 30 at 11 Bankruptcy bldgs, Carey st
SNOW, WILLIAM, Whimple, Devonshire, Farmer Aug 30 at 10.30 Off Rec, 13, Bedford circus, Exeter
SPENCER, JESSE, Liverpool, Tobacconist Sept 5 at 12 Off Rec, 35, Victoria st, Liverpool
STEEL, DOUGLAS QUINTIN, Aigburth, nr Liverpool, Solicitor Sept 5 at 2 Off Rec, 35, Victoria st, Liverpool
TATTLE, WALTER JAMES, Harrow rd, Hosier Aug 30 at 2 Bankruptcy bldgs, Carey st
WARNER, EMANUEL, Essex rd, late Fancy Goods Merchant Sept 2 at 12 Bankruptcy bldgs, Carey st
WILLY, WILLIAM JAMES, East Grinstead, Grocer Sept 2 at 11.30 24, Railway approach, London Bridge

ADJUDICATIONS.

ANTHONY, WESLEY HENRY, Ilkeston, Watchmaker Derby Pet Aug 21 Ord Aug 21
ASHCROFT, EDWARD, Hale, Ches, Farmer Manchester Pet Aug 19 Ord Aug 19
BALL, THOMAS, Milford Haven, Ship's Cook Pembroke Dock Pet Aug 20 Ord Aug 20
BUNBURY, WILLIAM JAMES, Bermondsey, Licensed Victualler High Court Pet May 23 Ord Aug 20
DOBSON, MARGARET ELEANOR, Burton on Trent Burton on Trent Pet Aug 19 Ord Aug 19
FERNLEY, JOHN HETHERINGTON, Holborn, Solicitor High Court Pet July 4 Ord Aug 19
FITTALL, GEORGE, Isle of Sheppey, Farmer Rochester Pet Aug 15 Ord Aug 20
GRANT, ALEXANDER, South Shields, Fancy Goods Dealer Newcastle on Tyne Pet Aug 19 Ord Aug 19
GREEN, HENRY, Birmingham, Dryselter Birmingham Pet Aug 12 Ord Aug 19
HODGSON, ELIZABETH, Scarborough Scarborough Pet Aug 19 Ord Aug 19
JOHNSON, ALFRED, Gnosall, Butcher Stafford Pet Aug 8 Ord Aug 8
LEWIN, JOHN REUBEN, Nottingham, Clerk Nottingham Pet Aug 19 Ord Aug 19
MARSTON, ROBERT, Rotherhithe, Coal Merchant High Court Pet Aug 20 Ord Aug 20
MORGAN, RICHARD HENRY, Pontymister, Mon, Grocer Newport, Mon Pet Aug 20 Ord Aug 20
PARRY, ROBERT, Llanberis, Blacksmith's Striker Bangor Pet Aug 19 Ord Aug 19
PATTEN, CHARLES JOAN, Cambridge, Publican Cambridge Pet Aug 20 Ord Aug 20
POTTS, HENRY JOHN WILLIAM, Cheshire, Veterinary Surgeon Stafford Pet Aug 20 Ord Aug 20
READER, JOHN, Derbyshire, Joiner Derby Pet Aug 20 Ord Aug 20
STANDEN, JOHN, Dartford Draper Rochester Pet July 31 Ord Aug 19
STEPHENS, ALFRED, THEOPHILUS, Caerleon, Mon, Clerk Newport, Mon Pet Aug 20 Ord Aug 20
THOMAS, HERBERT JOHN, Newport, Mon, Ironmonger Newport, Mon Pet Aug 19 Ord Aug 19
THRESH, THOMAS, and GEORGE THRESH, Wakefield, Colliery Proprietors Wakefield Pet Aug 17 Ord Aug 17

THRESH, CHARLES HENRY, and WILLIAM HENRY NORBERY, Wakefield, Linen Manufacturers Wakefield Pet Aug 17 Ord Aug 17

WAINWRIGHT, JOHN, Nottingham, Baker Nottingham Pet July 26 Ord Aug 20

WILLIAMS, DAVID JOSEPH, Merthyr Tydfil, Collier Merthyr Tydfil Pet Aug 20 Ord Aug 20

WILLIAMS, JOHN CHARLES, Leicester, Hardware Dealer Leicester Pet Aug 19 Ord Aug 20

WITHERIDGE, ARTHUR, Devonshire, Carpenter Plymouth Pet Aug 17 Ord Aug 20

YUILL, WILLIAM, Whitechapel rd, Ironmonger High Court Pet Aug 20 Ord Aug 20

London Gazette.—TUESDAY, Aug. 27.

RECEIVING ORDERS.

BEDBOUTH, R W, Croydon, Builder Croydon Pet Aug 19 Ord Aug 22

BOOS, GEORGE, Wakefield, Boot Dealer Wakefield Pet Aug 21 Ord Aug 21

BRINDLEY, WALTER HENRY, Stourbridge, Clerk Stourbridge Pet Aug 21 Ord Aug 21

BROWN, JOHN BARTLETT, Aston juxta, Commercial Traveller Birmingham Pet Aug 22 Ord Aug 22

BUCKLEY, JOE, Netherthong, Farmer Huddersfield Pet Aug 22 Ord Aug 22

COCK, ROBERT BARZILLAI, Broadstairs Canterbury Pet Aug 22 Ord Aug 22

DAY, MARION, Leicester, Spinster Leicester Pet July 30 Ord Aug 22

EAST, SAMUEL BATEMAN, Ramsgate, Hotel Manager Canterbury Pet Aug 2 Ord Aug 23

FINCHER, F E, Malt Factor High Court Pet July 30 Ord Aug 23

HALL, EDWARD BRACE, Watling st High Court Pet July 9 Ord Aug 23

HOWARD, WILLIAM, Littleborough, Salesman Rochdale Pet Aug 23 Ord Aug 23

HOWELLS, JOHN, St Lythans, Glam, Corn Merchant Cardiff Pet Aug 21 Ord Aug 21

HUGHES, HUGH, Portmadoc, Schoolmaster Portmadoc Pet Aug 23 Ord Aug 23

IRONS, JAMES P, Gresham bldgs, E C High Court Pet Aug 8 Ord Aug 23

JACOBS, LEWIS, Leeds, Hawker Leeds Pet Aug 22 Ord Aug 22

KER, LORD CHARLES INNES, Charles st, Berkeley sq, Gent High Court Pet June 1 Ord Aug 21

LABCOMB, WILLIAM, East Liss, Hampshire, Builder Portsmouth Pet Aug 9 Ord Aug 21

LEWIS, FRANCIS THOMAS, Barrow in Furness, Grocer Barrow in Furness Pet Aug 22 Ord Aug 22

LITTLER, JOHN, St Helens, Yeast Dealer Liverpool Pet Aug 22 Ord Aug 22

MAYBOUR, WILLIAM, Portsea, Refreshment house Keeper Portsmouth Pet Aug 22 Ord Aug 22

MILLETT & Co, WILLIAM E, Finsbury pavement, Auctioneers High Court Pet July 30 Ord Aug 21

MITCHELL, SETH, Golcar, Yorks, Commission Agent Huddersfield Pet Aug 23 Ord Aug 23

NICHOLAS, DAVID, Llantood, Labourer Carmarthen Pet Aug 21 Ord Aug 21

ODDY, WILLIAM, Kidderminster, Labourer Kidderminster Pet Aug 20 Ord Aug 20

PATTERSON, JOHN GERRARD, Leek, Staffs, Manufacturer's Agent Macclesfield Pet Aug 19 Ord Aug 22

PERKINS, JOHN GUY, Old Change, Manufacturer's Agent High Court Pet Aug 21 Ord Aug 22

READ, WALTER, Bournemouth, Coal Merchant Poole Pet Aug 14 Ord Aug 24

ROBIN, FRANCIS REGINALD, Stoke Newington Edmonton Pet Aug 21 Ord Aug 21

ROBINS, JAMES EDWARD, Wollescote, nr Stourbridge, Grocer Stourbridge Pet Aug 19 Ord Aug 19

SAMBELL, BENJAMIN JOSEPH WILLIAM, Plymouth, Farmer Plymouth Pet Aug 24 Ord Aug 24

SHAW, WILLIAM, Edgerton, Huddersfield, Shipping Merchant Huddersfield Pet Aug 23 Ord Aug 23

SMYTH, ARTHUR, Broomfield, Essex, Coal Dealer Chelmsford Pet Aug 21 Ord Aug 21

STUBINGTON, EDWARD, Harrow rd, Builder High Court Pet Aug 6 Ord Aug 22

THOMAS, WILLIAM HENRY, Westminster, Civil Engineer High Court Pet Aug 22 Ord Aug 22

WALKER, EDWARD, Exeter, Engraver Exeter Pet Aug 22 Ord Aug 23

WALKER, FRED ROBERT, Lincs, Market Gardener Great Grimsby Pet Aug 21 Ord Aug 21

WELLS, JOHN, Lincs, Grocer Boston Pet Aug 24 Ord Aug 24

WHITE, JAMES, Stapleton, Glos Newport Mon Pet Aug 24 Ord Aug 24

WILKINSON, JOHN PERCY, Oldham, Cabinet Maker Oldham Pet Aug 7 Ord Aug 22

WRIGHT, BENJAMIN WILLIAM, Bilston, Bacon Curer Wolverhampton Pet Aug 23 Ord Aug 24

Amended notices substituted for those published in the London Gazette of 16th August.

HESKETH, WILLIAM, Sidcombe, Commission Agent Manchester Pet July 27 Ord Aug 12

PRICE, JAMES, Brecon, Farm Labourer Merthyr Tydfil Pet Aug 12 Ord Aug 12

FIRST MEETINGS.

ANTHONY, WESLEY HENRY, Ilkeston, Derbyshire, Watchmaker Sept 3 at 11.30 Off Rec, St James's chmbrs, Derby

BAINBRIDGE, HENRY BOORMAN, Camden Town, Manufacturing Chemist Sept 4 at 2.30 Bankruptcy bldgs, Carey st

BALL, ALFRED JOHN, Wellington, Baker Sept 3 at 11 Off Rec, 42, St John's hill, Shrewsbury

BIRD, ANN, and JAMES CLARSON BIRD, Sturton, nr Stourbridge, Coal Merchants Sept 4 at 2.15 Talbot Hotel, Stourbridge

COCK, ROBERT BARZILLAI, Broadstairs Sept 13 at 9 Off Rec, 73, Castle st, Canterbury

DAWSON, ALFRED, Stapleford, Farmer Sept 3 at 11 Off Rec, 5, Petty Cury, Cambridge

DOBSON, MARGARET ELEANOR, Burton on Trent Sept 4 at 11 Off Rec, St James's chmbrs, Derby

EAST, SAMUEL BATEMAN, Ramsgate, Hotel Manager Sept 13 at 9.30 Off Rec, 73, Castle st, Canterbury

FORD, ALFRED, Bloxwich, Mineral Water Maker Sept 4 at 11 Off Rec, Walsall

FULLWOOD, BENJAMIN, Tickhill, Farmer Sept 3 at 3 Off Rec, Figtree lane, Sheffield

GREEN, HENRY, Birmingham, Drysalter Sept 6 at 11 23, Colmore row, Birmingham

HARRENAPE, JOHN RICHARD, Sedbergh, Farmer Sept 7 at 11.30 120, Highgate, Kendal

HODGSON, ELIZABETH, Scarborough Sept 3 at 11.30 Off Rec, 74, Newborough st, Scarborough

HUMBER, HENRY HEWITT, Swansea, Managing Brewer Sept 4 at 11 Off Rec, 31, Alexandra rd, Swansea

LAMB, WILLIAM, Blackburn, Clothier Oct 9 at 1.30 County Court house, Blackburn

MACBETH, ALEXANDER ROBERT, Clapham, Commission Agent Sept 4 at 12.30 24, Railway app, London Bridge

MARSTON, ROBERT, Rotherhithe, Coal Merchant Sept 4 at 12 Bankruptcy bldgs, Carey st

METCALFE, JOSEPH, Kirkby Steven, Butcher Sept 7 at 12 120, Highgate, Kendal

PARKER, GEORGE HENRY, Cheltenham, Builder Sept 5 at 4 County Court bldgs, Cheltenham

PARRY, ROBERT, Llanberis, Blacksmith's Striker Bangor Sept 5 at 11.45 Magistrate's Room, Bangor

PATTEN, CHARLES JOHN, Cambridgeshire, Publican Sept 3 at 12 Off Rec, 5, Petty Cury, Cambridge

PHILLIPS, HENRY, Exeter, Builder Sept 4 at 11.30 The Castle, Exeter

PRETTY, CHARLES, Norfolk, Bricklayer Sept 3 at 12 Off Rec, 36, Princes st, Ipswich

READER, JOHN, Spondon, Joiner Sept 3 at 11 Off Rec, St James's chmbrs, Derby

RICHE, WILLIAM, Glastonbury, Watchmaker Sept 5 at 12 Off Rec, 25, Colmore row, Birmingham

ROBINS, JAMES EDWARD, Wollescote, Grocer Sept 4 at 1.50 Talbot Hotel, Stourbridge

SMITH, EDWARD, Birmingham, Fender Maker Sept 4 at 12 23, Colmore row, Birmingham

SMITH, JOB, Birmingham, Auctioneer Sept 5 at 11 23, Colmore row, Birmingham

TASSIE, HENRY, High st, Putney, Estate Agent Sept 3 at 12 24, Railway approach, London Bridge

TAYLOR, CHARLES JOHN, Hove, Gent Sept 3 at 12 Bankruptcy bldgs, Carey st

TAYLOR, THOMAS, Liverpool, Boot Dealer Sept 4 at 12 Off Rec, 35, Victoria st, Liverpool

TIBBETTS, FREDERIC ARTHUR, Cradley Heath, Staffs, Licensed Victualler Sept 3 at 10.30 Off Rec, Dudley

TUCK, ALFRED JAMES, Northampton, Shoe Manufacturer Sept 4 at 12.30 County Court bldgs, Northampton

TURNER, ROBERT BERES, Carnarvon, Dentist Sept 4 at 11.45 Prince of Wales Hotel, Carnarvon

WIGHTMAN, ALFRED, Cheadle Hulme, Cheshire, Clerk Sept 3 at 11.40 Off Rec, County chmbrs, Market pl, Stockport

WILSON, JOHN CHARLES, Leicester, Hardware Dealer Sept 3 at 12.30 Off Rec, 1, Berridge st, Leicester

WINTER, NOEL NORCOTT, Bury st, St James's, Captain Sept 4 at 12 Bankruptcy bldgs, Carey st

YUILL, WILLIAM, Whitechapel rd, E, Ironmonger Sept 3 at 2.30 Bankruptcy bldgs, Carey st

ADJUDICATIONS.

BATES, JOSEPH, Chorley Wood, Builder St Albans Pet July 4 Ord Aug 21

BIGGS, THEODORE COMBS, Leeds, Hop Merchant Leeds Pet July 18 Ord Aug 21

BOON, GEORGE, Wakefield, Boot Dealer Wakefield Pet Aug 21 Ord Aug 21

BROWN, BENJAMIN WEBSTER, Kingsland rd High Court Pet May 29 Ord Aug 24

BUCKLEY, JOE, Netherthong, Farmer Huddersfield Pet Aug 22 Ord Aug 22

CHESTER, EDWARD WILLIAM, Brighton, Grocer Brighton Pet Aug 21 Ord Aug 23

COCK, ROBERT BARZILLAI, Broadstairs Canterbury Pet Aug 21 Ord Aug 22

DAWSON, ALFRED, Stapleford, Farmer Cambridge Pet Aug 21 Ord Aug 22

DAY, MARION, Leicester, Spinster Leicester Pet July 29 Ord Aug 24

EAMES, WILLIAM, St Albans, Builder St Albans Pet Aug 8 Ord Aug 21

HALL, REGINALD, Chatham, Captain Guildford Pet Sept 12, 1894 Ord Aug 21

HALL, THOMAS, Clapton High Court Pet July 16 Ord Aug 22

HOWARD, WILLIAM, Littleborough, Salesman Rochdale Pet Aug 22 Ord Aug 23

HOWE, JOHN, Dorchester, Builder Dorchester Pet Aug 3 Ord Aug 22

HOWELLS, JOHN, Cardiff, Corn Merchant Cardiff Pet Aug 21 Ord Aug 21

HUGHES, HUGH, Portmadoc, Schoolmaster Portmadoc Pet Aug 23 Ord Aug 23

JACOBS, LEWIS, Leeds, Hawker Leeds Pet Aug 22 Ord Aug 22

JEFFERY, SARAH JANE, Harrogate, Yorks, Coal Dealer York Pet Aug 2 Ord Aug 22

LABCOMB, WILLIAM, Hampshire, Builder Portsmouth Pet Aug 9 Ord Aug 22

MAYBOUR, WILLIAM, Portsea, Refreshment house Keeper Portsmouth Pet Aug 20 Ord Aug 22

MCINTOSH, DONALD, Cannon st High Court Pet May 20 Ord Aug 22

MORRIS, ALBERT WILLIAM, Bristol, Egg Merchant Bristol Pet Aug 14 Ord Aug 23

NICHOLAS, DAVID, Llantood, Pemb, Labourer Carmarthen Pet Aug 19 Ord Aug 21

ODDY, WILLIAM, Kidderminster, Labourer Kidderminster Pet Aug 20 Ord Aug 20

PERKINS, JOHN GUY, Old Change, Manufacturer's Agent High Court Pet Aug 21 Ord Aug 22

POCOCK, CHARLES GUY COVENTRY, Kilburn, Gent High Court Pet May 1 Ord Aug 23

ROBERTS, WILLIAM ARTHUR, Kensington High Court Pet Aug 17 Ord Aug 22

ROBERTS, LIONEL WORSLEY, Upper Bedford place, Gent High Court Pet July 12 Ord Aug 24

ROBIN, FRANCIS REGINALD, Stoke Newington Edmonton Pet Aug 14 Ord Aug 21

ROBINS, JAMES EDWARD, Wollescotte, nr Stourbridge, Grocer Stourbridge Pet Aug 19 Ord Aug 19

SAMBELL, BENJAMIN JOSEPH WILLIAM, Plymouth, Farmer Plymouth Pet Aug 24 Ord Aug 24

SMITH, ARTHUR, Broomfield, Coal Dealer Chelmsford Pet Aug 19 Ord Aug 21

STABLES, EMILY BEATRICE, West Kensington, Boarding house Manageress High Court Pet June 20 Ord Aug 21

STOTT, BOOTH, STOTT, DAVID, STOTT, WILLIAM ELLIS, and JOHN EDWARD STOTT, Bailiff Bridge, nr Brighouse, Yorks, Cotton Spinners Halifax Pet July 27 Ord Aug 24

TASSIE, HENRY, High st, Putney, Estate Agent Wandsworth Pet June 22 Ord Aug 22

TAYLOR, CHARLES JAMES, Newbound Teversall, nr Mansfield, Notts, Horse Dealer Nottingham Pet July 12 Ord Aug 24

TEMPLETON, SAMUEL, Blackhill, Durham, Clerk Newcastle on Tyne Pet July 1 Ord Aug 22

TICE, WILLIAM, York st, Westminster, Gas Engineer High Court Pet June 20 Ord Aug 21

WALKER, EDWARD, Exeter, Engraver Exeter Pet Aug 23 Ord Aug 23

WALKER, FRED ROBERT, Claythorpes, Market Gardener Gt Grimsby Pet Aug 21 Ord Aug 21

WHITE, JAMES, Chepstow, Mon Newport, Mon Pet Aug 24 Ord Aug 24

Amended Notice substituted for that published in the London Gazette of the 16th August:—

PRICE, JAMES, Cray, Brecon, Farm Labourer Merthyr Tydfil Pet Aug 12 Ord Aug 12

SALES OF ENSUING WEEK.

Sept. 5.—Messrs. DANIEL WATNEY & SONS, at the Mart, at 2, Freehold Estate in Sussex, and Freehold Groundrents and Building Land near Victoria Docks (see advertisements, Aug. 24, p. 4).

Sept. 5.—Messrs. H. E. FOSTER & CRANFIELD, at the Mart, at 2, Reversions, Policies, &c. (see advertisements on the back page).

All letters intended for publication in the "Solicitors' Journal" must be authenticated by the name of the writer.

Where difficulty is experienced in procuring the Journal with regularity, it is requested that application be made direct to the Publisher.

Subscription, PAYABLE IN ADVANCE, *which includes Indexes, Digests, Statutes, and Postage,* 52*s.* WEEKLY REPORTER, *in wrapper,* 26*s.*; *by Post,* 28*s.* SOLICITORS JOURNAL, 26*s.* 0*d.*; *by Post,* 28*s.* 0*d. Volumes bound at the office—cloth,* 2*s.* 9*d.*, *half law calf,* 5*s.* 6*d.*

TREADWELL & WRIGHT, of Devereux-court, Temple, W.C., Legal and General Shorthand Writers, are carrying on the Business begun by W. TREADWELL in 1845; Typewritten Transcripts; Legal and General Copying in Typewriting at Stationers' Charges; Competent Shorthand Clerks for Emergencies and Arrears.

EDE AND SON,

ROBE MAKERS.

BY SPECIAL APPOINTMENT

To Her Majesty, the Lord Chancellor, the Whole of Judicial Bench, Corporation of London, &c.

ROBES FOR QUEEN'S COUNSEL AND BARRISTERS.

SOLICITORS' GOWNS.

Law Wigs and Gowns for Registrars, Town Clerks, and Clerks of the Peace.

Corporation Robes, University and Clergy Gowns.

ESTABLISHED 1689.

94, CHANCERY LANE, LONDON.